

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 2002  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S089357      In re Kristin William Hughes  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas G. Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to informal response by August 28, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 29, 2002. After that date, only four further extensions totaling 120 additional days are contemplated.

S098760      Timothy L. Smith, Plaintiff and Appellant  
                         v.

Rae-Venter Law Group, Defendant and Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the joint answer to amicus briefs of the Employers Group and the California Employment Law Council is extended to and including April 22, 2002.

S102904      People, Respondent  
                         v.

Robert Ordaz, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including April 26, 2002.

No further extensions will be granted.

S100490      In re Nicholas H., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law

-----  
Alameda County Social Services Agency, Petitioner and Respondent  
v.

Kimberly H., Objector and Appellant

The application of appellant Kimberly H. to file a reply to minor's brief is hereby DENIED.

S085421 In re **John Forrest Franklin II** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and **John Forrest Franklin II, State Bar No. 100737**, shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for nine months. He is also ordered to attend State Bar Ethics School during the period of his actual suspension and take and pass the test given at the end of such session. Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on December 14, 2001 (Business & Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*See Business and Professions Code section 6126, subdivision (c).

S103531 In re **Leroy Allan Martin** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Leroy Allan Martin, State Bar No. 137619**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed September 18, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 14, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2003, 2004 and 2005. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

S103609 In re **Christopher Martin Dolan** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Christopher Martin Dolan, State Bar No. 76254**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103610 In re **Louis J. Larocca** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Louis J. Larocca, State Bar No. 154708**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103614 In re **Behzad David Heravi** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Behzad David Heravi, State Bar No. 185496**, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and six months and until he complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 24, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 19, 2001. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on

December 6, 2000. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

S103615 In re **Jill Anne Cahill** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Jill Anne Cahill, State Bar No. 168130**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for 60 days and until she makes restitution to Jarrod Ronald Bordi and Diana Bordi (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$2,575.00 plus 10% interest per annum from March 12, 1999, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on October 18, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. **Jill Anne Cahill** is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If **Jill Anne Cahill** is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If **Jill Anne Cahill** is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103617 In re **Jonathan I. Mann** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Jonathan I. Mann, State Bar No. 94098**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on September 18, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 14, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2003 and 2004.

S103618 In re **Robert Emil Relat** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert Emil Relat, State Bar No. 125467**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 21 months and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. **Robert Emil Relat** is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 20, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 13, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) **Robert Emil Relat** is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103621 In re **Merissa Coleman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Merissa Coleman, State Bar No. 160046**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on September 26, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 20, 2001. It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on October 16, 2000, and terminated on November 9, 2001. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

S103648 In re **Rebecca Leigh Stitt** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Rebecca Leigh Stitt, State Bar No. 89346**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years on condition that she be actually suspended for 75 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed October 23, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 21, 2001. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

S103651 In re **Michael Vincent Johnson** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael Vincent Johnson, State Bar No. 100957**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension of nine months and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness

to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed October 23, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 19, 2001. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004. \*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103655 In re **Albert Kojo Amanquah** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Albert Kojo Amanquah, State Bar No. 153339**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103731 In re **Timothy Francis Perry** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Timothy Francis Perry, State Bar No. 77738**, be suspended from the practice of law for 23 months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 23 months and until he makes restitution to Martin Monciardini (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$4900 plus 10% interest per annum from January 14, 1998, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 3, 2001. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered

that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103767

In re **Laurinda Lee, aka Laurinda Lockerby** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Laurinda Lee, aka Laurinda Lockerby, State Bar No. 117725**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for 18 months and until she makes restitution to Elsie Haig (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$9,000 plus 10% interest per annum from June 5, 1997, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed November 5, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that respondent perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are



awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103768 In re **Steven Elliot Machat** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Steven Elliot Machat, State Bar No. 77255**, be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until he makes restitution to Ralph Medrano and Jason Vasquez (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$17,500.00 plus 10% interest per annum from August 7, 1998, and to Capcom (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$20,000.00, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. **Steven Elliot Machat** is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 20, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) **Steven Elliot Machat** is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S103769 In re **I. Barbra Mesch** on Discipline

It is ordered that **I. Barbra Mesch, State Bar No. 195743**, be placed on probation for one year on condition that she be actually suspended for 60 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed October 24, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 21,

2001. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

S103872 In re **Richard Eric Hove** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Richard Eric Hove, State Bar No. 53780**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 75 days and until he makes restitution to Monica Stapleton (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$500.00 plus 10% interest per annum from February 26, 1999, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. **Richard Eric Hove** is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed September 20, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 16, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S105485 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Or-Li Geuel Wallace**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Or-Li Geuel Wallace, State Bar No. 150601**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S105489 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Dale Allen Welke**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Dale Allen Welke, State Bar No. 43876**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.